

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/560,106	06/12/2006	Pascal Desbiolles	021305-00219	2899
	4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 06/28/2007 PLTC	· ·	EXAMINER	
	1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			PATIDAR, JAY M	
	SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2862	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			TH			
· •		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/560,106	DESBIOLLES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jay M. Patidar	2862			
The MAILING DATE of a Period for Reply	his communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FI - Extensions of time may be available undurafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extended	ROM THE MAILING der the provisions of 37 CFR date of this communication. , the maximum statutory period deperiod for reply will, by state an three months after the mai	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply	y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL .		nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance w	th the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pend	ling in the application	٦.				
4a) Of the above claim(s	s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are al	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejec						
7) Claim(s) is/are of	-					
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and	I/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is obje	cted to by the Exami	ner.				
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on \underline{c}	<u>09 December 2005</u> is	s/are: a)∏ accepted or b)⊠ o	bjected to by the Examiner.			
	, ,	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance	` '			
			is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration i	s objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is mad		gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□						
<u></u>		ents have been received.	Backan Ma			
		ents have been received in App riority documents have been re				
	•	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage			
/ · · ·		ist of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
		•				
A44h						
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-8)	92)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/9/05</u> .) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application			

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following:

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: "(" (page 2, line 28); TM(tn); ⊗V/V etc.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.
- 4. Claims 4-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-7 have not been further treated on the merits.

Art Unit: 2862

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the position signals A,B; signals S1,S2; and two sensitive elements (claim 1) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,106 Page 4

Art Unit: 2862

6. Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 1-7, all symbols or characters e.g. [™](tn); ⊗V/V are not recognized;

In claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for "same axle" at line 20; the phrase "is able to" is vague since it is not positively recited;

In claim 3, the phrase "output signal resolution to be increased" is not clearly understood; it is unclear as to what the output signal resolution and how it is increased;

In claim 5, there is no antecedent basis for "non-drive wheels";

In claim 6, "it is implemented' is vague as to what is implemented! It is also unclear as to what the set driving conditions.

Appropriate correction is required.

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear as to what Vg and Vd are.

Appropriate correction is required.

8. Applicant is requested to provide copy of each reference cited on IDS.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,106 Page 5

Art Unit: 2862

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 (insofar as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as

being anticipated by EP 0 353 995.

'995 discloses a method for determining the absolute angular position

including a steering wheel H of a motor vehicle with respect to chassis of the

vehicle with a device 2 for measuring the relative position of the steering wheel

including an encoder; a fixed sensor and a means for determining the absolute

angular position by determining offset and the angular position (fig. 1; page 2,

lines 26-page 5, lines 35).

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,106 Page 6

Art Unit: 2862

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jay M. Patidar/
Jay M. Patidar
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2862
Email: Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov

June 19, 2007